

# Performance and economic benefits of meat-type chicken fed diets containing white and yellow cassava supplemented with different additives

K.O. Ande, A.O. Oso, O.O. Oluwatosin, L.O. Sanni, K.O. Bello, K. Adebayo, and A.O. Lala

Abstract: Two hundred and forty unsexed day-old broiler chickens were allotted to eight dietary treatments arranged in a  $4 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of two cassava varieties (white and yellow cassava) supplemented with no additive (control), synthetic amino acid, cellulase enzyme and a combination of amino acid and cellulase enzyme for the starter (0–4 weeks) and finisher (4–8 weeks) phases. Data was collected on growth performance, feed conversion ratio (FCR), cost of feed consumed per kilogram and analysed using ANOVA. Starting broilers fed a diet containing yellow cassava supplemented with amino acids had the most superior (p < 0.05) final weight (709.09 g/bird), weight gain (657.27 g/bird), best FCR (1.93), and the cheapest (p < 0.05) cost per kilogram weight gain  $(\cancel{200.15}/kg [US$0.56])$ . Finishing broilers fed a diet containing white cassava supplemented with amino acid also recorded the best (p < 0.05) FCR (2.43) and cheapest cost of feed consumed per kilogram weight gain (#226.71/kg [\$0.63]). The study concluded that dietary supplementation with amino acid when white or yellow cassava root is to be used in the nutrition of broilers is essential for improved growth performance and economic benefits to broiler farmers.

Keywords: performance, meat-type chickens, cassava root, additives

THERE IS THE NEED TO PROVIDE solutions to the present challenges of shortage and high cost of conventional feed ingredients such as maize. Over the years, maize has been the ingredient of choice for the supply of energy in monogastric animal nutrition because of its high-energy content and low anti-nutritional factors (Donkoh and Attoh-Kotoku, 2009). In recent years, maize price has increased considerably due to keen competition by livestock, food industries, increased

K.O. Ande (kehindeojeniyi27@gmail.com) postgraduate (PhD) student; A.O. Oso (drosoann@yahoo.com) senior lecturer; O.O. Oluwatosin (oduguwa2002@yahoo.com) professor; K.O. Bello (kazeembello19@gmail.com) senior research fellow; and A.O. Lala (funmilala02@yahoo.com) senior research fellow at the College of Animal Science and Livestock Production, Animal Nutrition Department, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria; L.O. Sanni (sannilateef5@gmail.com) professor at the College of Food Science and Human Ecology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria; K. Adebayo (adebayok@funaab.edu.ng) professor at the College of Agricultural Management and Rural Development, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria
 © Practical Action Publishing, 2018, www.practicalactionpublishing.org, ISSN: 2046-1879/2046-1887

production of biofuel, direct human consumption, and droughts in some parts of Africa (Nigeria, Ghana, and Benin Republic). This scenario justified the need to explore alternative feed resources that can partially or fully replace maize at a low cost for poultry production. Cassava is a cheaper, high energy ingredient and can potentially replace maize as an energy source in poultry diets without adverse effects on birds' performance.

The use of cassava as replacement for other conventional cereal grains (maize, sorghum, wheat, etc.) in poultry feed is limited by a few factors, including its low protein quality and quantity, with very low essential amino acid contents (Adeyemi et al., 2008; Olugbemi et al., 2010). Diets containing cassava therefore need to be supplemented with synthetic amino acid to make up for the protein deficiency. The high fibrous content of cassava peel and the presence of cyanogenic glucosides, linamarin and lotaustralin, which yield hydrocyanide (HCN) upon hydrolysis, is also a demerit (Udedibie et al., 2008). Inclusion of feed additives like enzymes is known to improve utilization of fibrous feed (Abdulrashid et al., 2007). The conventional white cassava roots and products are known to be deficient in  $\beta$ -carotene and other carotenoids (Omole, 1977; Khajarem and Khajarem, 2007) which could consequently lead to nutritional deficiencies and cause *in vivo* oxidative stress with the attendant effects on animal products (Ngiki et al., 2014).

Previous studies exist on the practical inclusion of whole cassava root meal (white) as energy feedstuff in feed for poultry (Aderemi et al., 2012; Oso et al., 2014; Akapo et al., 2014) but there is limited information on the use of biofortified (yellow) cassava in the feed of poultry. Understanding of the nutritional importance of yellow cassava varieties will encourage or boost its production by farmers, and improve the economic status and livelihood of rural farmers. Yellow cassava varieties are known to have lower HCN content than white cultivars with added carotene content. This is an improvement over the white cultivars. Further nutritional enhancement of the yellow cassava cultivars (such as solid state or fungal fermentation) in future research could reduce the concentration of amino acid employed to improve cassava utilization with attendant reduction in feed cost. This study will therefore focus on the comparative utilization of unpeeled white and yellow cassava root meals (WCRM and YCRM respectively) supplemented with synthetic amino acids and cellulase enzyme by meat-type chickens.

#### Materials and methods

The experiment was carried out at the Poultry Unit of Ogun-Oshun River Basin Development Authority, Abeokuta (7° 12′ 1.0″ N, 3° 26′ 13.2″ E), Nigeria, West Africa. This is in the tropical sub-savannah region with an average ambient temperature of 32.91°C and a relative humidity of 79.25 per cent. It receives a mean precipitation of 1,685 mm per annum (Ogun-Oshun River Basin Development Authority, 2016).

Freshly harvested white cassava variety (TME 419) and yellow cassava variety (ITA/IBD/1368) were purchased at the Institute of Food Security and Environmental Research Agency (IFSERA) and used for the study. Each variety was thoroughly

washed with clean water (to be free of dirt and sand) and manually chipped into smaller pieces (about 0.5 cm thick, without prior peeling) as described by Oso et al. (2010). The chipped cassava tubers obtained from each variety were sun-dried separately on a concrete floor for about 5–6 days and turned occasionally for effective drying until they reached a moisture content of approximately 10–12 per cent. The dried chips were collected, bagged, stored, and subsequently milled (2.5 mm sieve) separately to obtain the WCRM and YCRM. The processed cassava root meals were later mixed with other feed ingredients to formulate the experimental diets.

#### Chemical composition of white and yellow cassava root meals

Samples of the cassava root meals were analysed to determine their chemical constituents using the method described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Horwitz, 2005). The fibre fractions that include the neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF), and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined according to the methods of Van Soest et al. (1991). Hemicellulose was calculated as the difference between NDF and ADF, while cellulose was calculated as the difference between ADF and ADL. Also, the cyanide content of the samples was determined following the method of Bradbury et al. (1991). Mineral content such as Ca, P, Cu, Mg, Mn, and Zn as well as  $\beta$ -carotene of the cassava root meals were determined using Horwitz (2005) and AOAC (1997), respectively (Table 1).

	White cassava	Yellow cassava
Proximate components (%)		
Moisture content	9.58	8.67
Dry matter content	90.42	91.33
Crude protein	2.20	3.56
Ether extract	0.76	0.52
Crude fibre	1.26	1.09
Ash	2.34	2.90
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	83.77	82.98
β-carotene (µg/100 g)	15.42	349.01
Gross energy (kcal/kg) or MJ/kg	(3537.1) 14.80	(3457.1) 14.46
Hydrocyanide HCN (mg/kg)	26.60	25.40
Fibre fractions (%)		
Nitrogen detergent fibre (NDF)	26.59	24.95
Acid detergent fibre (ADF)	15.58	14.06
Acid detergent lignin (ADL)	3.37	2.53
Hemicellulose	11.01	10.89
Cellulose	12.21	11.53

Table 1	Chemical	composition	of white and	vellow	cassava root meals
I GOIC I	Critcrincui	composition	or wince and	,	cussuru root means

*(continued)* 

#### 74 K.O. ANDE ET AL.

	White cassava	Yellow cassava
Mineral content (mg/g)		
Ca	3.55	3.72
Р	0.80	0.90
Cu	0.28	0.32
Mg	0.40	0.46
Mn	0.14	0.22
Zn	0.11	0.10

#### Experimental birds and management

A total of 240 day-old, unsexed broiler chickens of Marshall<sup>®</sup> strain were distributed at random between 24 pens. Three pens were assigned to each treatment and each pen contained 10 birds. The birds were brooded and reared intensively on a deep litter housing system with dried wood shavings as the litter material. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum*. The experiment lasted for eight weeks (0–4 weeks for the starter phase and 4–8 weeks for the finisher phase).

#### **Dietary treatments**

White cassava root meal replaced 30 per cent of maize as the basal diet in the experimental diets, with varying levels of additives as follows: there was no additive in one of the diets (control) while the remaining three contained recommended levels of synthetic amino acids, exogenous enzyme, and a combination of both amino acids and enzyme. This was done for each cassava variety. There were eight dietary treatments laid out in a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangement, i.e. two varieties of cassava and four types of additives. The composition of the experimental diets for both starter and finisher phases are as shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

1         2         3         4         5         6         7           Malze         320 <td< th=""><th>1         2         3         4           320         320         320         320         320           136         136         136         136         136           -         -         -         -         -         -           290         290         290         290         290         10</th><th></th><th>IEIIUW</th><th>rassava</th><th></th></td<>	1         2         3         4           320         320         320         320         320           136         136         136         136         136           -         -         -         -         -         -           290         290         290         290         290         10		IEIIUW	rassava	
Maize         320 </th <th>320     320     320     320       136     136     136     136       -     -     -     -       290     290     290     290       10     10     10     10</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th>	320     320     320     320       136     136     136     136       -     -     -     -       290     290     290     290       10     10     10     10	5	6	7	8
White cassava         136	136     136     136     136       -     -     -     -       290     290     290     290       10     10     10     10	320	320	320	320
Vellow cassava136136136136Soya bean meal290290290290290290290290Fish meal (70%)1010101010101010Groundnut cake9090909090909090Wheat offal100100100100100100100100Wheat offal1010101010101010Wheat offal15151515151515Wheat offal15151515151515Wheat offal15151515151515Weathionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Weathionine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Weathionine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Weathionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Weathionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Weathionine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Weathionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Weathionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Weathionine <t< td=""><td> 1</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td></t<>	1	I	I	I	I
Soya bean meal         290	290         290         290         290           10         10         10         10         10	136	136	136	136
Fish meal (70%)         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         100	10 10 10 10	290	290	290	290
Groundnut cake         90		10	10	10	10
Wheat offal100100100100100100100Pall oil1010101010101010Pall oil1010101010101010Bone meal20202020202020Bone meal1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Oyster shell1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Methionie2.2.52.52.52.52.52.5Usine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Methionie2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Usine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Methionie2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Usine1.01.0001.0001.0001.0001.0001.000Methio acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acid	06 06 06	06	90	90	90
Palm oil101010101010101010Bone meal2020202020202020Bone meal151515151515151515Methionine2222222Oyster shell1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Methionine2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Usine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Premix (broilers)2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Common salt33333333Amino acidCellulase enzymeAmino acid + enzyme </td <td>100 100 100 100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td>	100 100 100 100	100	100	100	100
Bone meal         20	10 10 10 10	10	10	10	10
Oyster shell151515151515151515Methionine22222222Usine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Usine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Premix (broilers)2.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Common salt3333333Amino acidCellulase enzymeAmino acidCellulase enzymeAmino acidCellulase enzymeAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acidAmino acid </td <td>20 20 20 20</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td>	20 20 20 20	20	20	20	20
Methionine22222222Lysine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Premix (broilers)2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Common salt33333333Amino acid-*-*-*-Common salt33333333Amino acid-**-Cellulase enzyme***Amino acid**-Cellulase enzyme***-Amino acid*-Cellulase enzyme**-Amino acid****Amino acid**-**Amino acid**-**Amino acid**-**<	15 15 15 15 15	15	15	15	15
Lysine1.51.51.51.51.51.51.51.5Premix (broilers)2.52.52.52.52.52.52.52.5Common salt3333333Common salt $ *$ $ *$ $ *$ $-$ Amino acid $ *$ $   *$ $-$ Cellulase enzyme $      -$ Cellulase enzyme $      -$ Amino acid + enzyme $       -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $         -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $        -$ Amino acid + enzyme $  -$ <td>2 2 2 2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td>	2 2 2 2	2	2	2	2
Premix (broilers) $2.5$ <td>1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.5</td>	1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Common salt33333333Amino acid $-$ * $-$ * $-$ * $-$ Amino acid $-$ * $  -$ * $-$ Cellulase enzyme $      -$ Cellulase enzyme $      -$ Amino acid + enzyme $      -$ Total $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ Determined analyses $       -$ Metabolized energy (kcal/kg) $2,891.46$ $2,890.75$ $2,993.10$ $2,896.34$ $2,879.65$ $2,881.75$ Crude protein (%) $2,891.46$ $2,890.75$ $2,993.10$ $2,896.34$ $2,879.65$ $2,81.75$ Crude protein (%) $2,2.82$ $22.90$ $23.03$ $22.93$ $22.96$ Crude fibre (%) $5.56$ $5.57$ $5.52$ $5.54$ $5.57$ $5.54$ Crude fibre (%) $5.56$ $5.28$ $2.900,000.10.1, Vit. E10,0009.42Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000.10.1, Vit. D3 2,000,000.10.1, Vit. E10,0009.42Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; nia di antioxidant$	2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Amino acid $-$ * $-$ * $-$ * $-$ Cellulase enzyme $  **$ $ **$ $ **$ Cellulase enzyme $   **$ $  **$ Amino acid + enzyme $   ***$ $  **$ Amino acid + enzyme $   ***$ $  **$ Amino acid + enzyme $   ***$ $  -$ Total $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ $1,000$ Determined analyses $  ***$ $    -$ Metabolized energy (kcal/kg) $2,891.46$ $2,890.75$ $2,993.10$ $2,896.34$ $2,879.65$ $2,881.75$ Crude protein (%) $2.2.82$ $22.2.90$ $23.03$ $22.88$ $22.93$ $22.96$ Crude protein (%) $5.56$ $5.57$ $5.50$ $5.54$ $5.57$ $5.54$ $5.76$ Crude fibre (%) $5.56$ $5.28$ $5.52$ $5.54$ $5.47$ $5.47$ $5.47$ Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 1.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 1.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. Ki. Vit. UN 2,000 mg; and antioxidantVit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; and antioxidant	3 3 3 3	3	3	3	ŝ
Cellulase enzyme-****Amino acid + enzyme****Amino acid + enzyme****Total1,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,000Determined analysesMetabolized energy (kcal/kg)2,891.462,890.752,993.102,896.342,879.652,881.75Crude protein (%)22.8222.9023.0322.8822.9322.96Crude fibre (%)5.565.575.505.545.535.44Ether extract (%)5.565.285.555.545.475.42Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 LU; Vit. D3 2,000,000 LU; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. KVit. B6 1,500 mg; vit. 86 1,500 mg; vit. 86 1,000 mg; vit. 86 1,000 mg; vit. 86 1,000 mg; and antioxidant	   	Ι	*	I	I
Amino acid + enzyme***Total1,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,000Determined analyses1,0001,0001,0001,0001,0001,000Metabolized energy (kcal/kg)2,891.462,890.752,993.102,896.342,879.652,881.75Crude protein (%)22.8222.8522.9023.0322.8822.9322.96Crude fibre (%)5.565.575.525.505.545.545.42Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 LU) Vit. D3 2,000,000 LU); Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K.Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; and antioxidant	- **	I	I	**	I
Total1,0001,010 <th< td=""><td>***    </td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>***</td></th<>	***	I	I	I	***
Determined analyses           Metabolized energy (kcal/kg)         2,891.46         2,890.75         2,993.10         2,896.34         2,879.65         2,881.75           Crude protein (%)         2,891.46         2,890.75         2,993.10         2,896.34         2,879.65         2,881.75           Crude protein (%)         22.82         22.93         22.93         22.96           Crude fibre (%)         5.56         5.57         5.52         5.54         5.54           Ether extract (%)         5.56         5.28         5.54         5.47         5.42           Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 1.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 1.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K:         Vit. K:           Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Metabolized energy (kcal/kg)         2,891.46         2,890.75         2,993.10         2,896.34         2,879.89         2,879.65         2,881.75           Crude protein (%)         22.82         22.90         23.03         22.88         22.93         22.96           Crude protein (%)         5.56         5.57         5.52         5.50         5.54         5.55         5.54           Crude fibre (%)         5.56         5.28         5.55         5.54         5.55         5.47         5.42           Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 LU; Vit. D3 2,000,000 LU; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K:         5.47         5.42<	S				
Crude protein (%)         22.82         22.85         22.90         23.03         22.88         22.93         22.96           Crude fibre (%)         5.56         5.57         5.52         5.50         5.54         5.55         5.54           Ether extract (%)         5.56         5.28         5.55         5.54         5.55         5.54         5.47         5.42           Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 I.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 I.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. Ki.         Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	kcal/kg) 2,891.46 2,890.75 2,993.10 2,896.34	2,879.89	2,879.65	2,881.75	2,884.45
Crude fibre (%)         5.56         5.57         5.52         5.50         5.54         5.55         5.54           Ether extract (%)         5.56         5.28         5.55         5.34         5.47         5.42           Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 1.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 1.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K:         Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	22.82 22.85 22.90 23.03	22.88	22.93	22.96	23.12
Ether extract (%)         5.56         5.28         5.55         5.54         5.31         5.47         5.42           Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 I.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 I.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K:         Vit. 82 4,000 mg; Vit. 86 1,500 mg; Vit. 812 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	5.56 5.57 5.52 5.50	5.54	5.55	5.54	5.51
Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 I.U; Vit. D3 2,000,000 I.U; Vit. E 10,000 mg; Vit. K: Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	5.56 5.28 5.55 5.54	5.31	5.47	5.42	5.20
Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin 20 mg; niacin 15,000 mg; and antioxidant	he premix contains: 1.25 kg Vitamin Premix (Vit. A 10,000,000 I.U; V	it. D3 2,000,000	0.U; Vit. E 10,00	00 mg; Vit. K3 2	;000 mg;
	B6 1,500 mg; Vit. B12 10 mg; pantothenic acid 5,000 mg; biotin	20 mg; niacin 1	15,000 mg; anc	l antioxidant 12	25,000 mg),
1.25 kg Mineral Premix (copper 5,000 mg; iodine 1,200 mg; selenium 200 mg; cobalt 200 mg; iron 20,000 mg; zinc 50,000 mg;	ix (copper 5,000 mg; iodine 1,200 mg; selenium 200 mg; cobalt	200 mg; iron 20	),000 mg; zinc	50,000 mg; m	anganese

(b
g/k
Š
oroilers
6
inishin
0
Ę
fé
diets
a
experiment
of
composition
Gross
m
<b>d</b> 1

Table 3 Gross composition of ex	xperimental di	ets fed to finish	ng broilers (g/l	(g)				
Ingredients		White c	assava			Yellow	cassava	
I	1	2	m	4	5	6	2	8
Maize	378	378	378	378	378	378	378	378
White cassava	162	162	162	162	I	I	I	I
Yellow cassava	I	I	I	I	162	162	162	162
Soya bean meal	204	204	204	204	204	204	204	204
Groundnut cake	122	122	122	122	122	122	122	122
Wheat offal	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Palm oil	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Bone meal	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Oyster shell	80	80	8	80	8	80	8	8
Methionine	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Lysine	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Premix (broilers)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Common salt	ĉ	ĉ	c	ĉ	£	ĉ	£	ŝ
Amino acid	I	*	I	I	I	*	I	I
Cellulase enzyme	I	I	**	I	I	I	**	I
Amino acid + enzyme	I	I	I	***	I	I	I	***
Total	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Determined analyses								
Metabolized energy (kcal/kg)	3,091.46	3,090.75	3,093.10	3,096.34	3,079.89	3,079.65	3,081.75	3,084.45
Crude protein (%)	19.64	20.15	19.88	20.44	19.74	20.23	19.93	20.53
Crude fibre (%)	5.86	5.43	5.95	5.83	5.90	5.88	5.85	5.94
Ether extract (%)	5.66	5.60	5.54	5.61	5.66	5.56	5.52	5.57
Note: Each 2.5 kg of the premix	contains: 1.25	kg Vitamin Pre	mix (Vit. A 10,0	00,000 I.U; Vit	D3 2,000,000	I.U; Vit. E 10,0	00 mg; Vit. K3	2,000 mg;
Vit. B2 4,000 mg; Vit. B6 1500 m	ng; Vit. B12 10	mg; pantothen	ic acid 5,000 m	g; biotin 20 mg	g; niacin 15,000	) mg; and antic	oxidant 125,000	) mg),
1.25 kg Mineral Premix (copper 5	5,000 mg; iodir	ie 1,200 mg; sel	enium 200 mg;	cobalt 200 mg	3; iron 20,000 n	1g; zinc 50,000	mg; manganes	ie 80,000 mg;
and choline chloride 200 g)								

### Data measurement

The body weight of birds in each replicate group was measured at the beginning of the experiment and weekly thereafter. Weight gain for each week was obtained by the difference in the body weights of two consecutive weighings for each replicate group. Feed intake was calculated as the difference between the feed offered and left over. Feed conversion ratio was computed as the ratio of feed consumed to weight gain.

The cost of feed ingredients at the time of the study was noted and used to calculate the cost of the dietary treatments per kg (naira), total cost of feed consumed per bird (naira) and cost of feed consumed to produce a kilogram of weight gain using the procedure of Sonaiya et al. (1996).

a. Total cost of feed consumed TCFC (₦) = Total feed consumed × Cost per kilogram of feed

b. Cost of feed per kilogram diet  $(H/kg) = \frac{\text{Cost of feed consumed}(H)}{\text{Total feed consumed}(kg)}$ 

c. Cost of feed per kilogram weight gain  $(H/kg) = \frac{\text{Cost of feed consumed }(H)}{\text{Weight gain }(kg)}$ 

## Statistical analysis

Data generated were analysed by the analysis of variance technique using the SAS computer package (SAS Institute, 1999) to separate the main effects of using different varieties of cassava. The interaction effect between the white or yellow cassava varieties and the type of additive (no additive, amino acid supplementation, exogenous enzyme, or combination of amino acid and enzyme) was also determined. Differences between significant mean values were separated using Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955).

# Results

The chemical composition of WCRM and YCRM (average of four determinations) as shown in Table 1 revealed that the moisture content of the two cassava varieties used in this study differ, with values of 9.55 per cent and 8.67 per cent for WCRM and YCRM, respectively. White cassava root meal recorded higher values for crude fat and crude fibre content, while 3.56 per cent crude protein in YCRM is higher than 2.20 per cent crude protein recorded for WCRM. The ash and  $\beta$ -carotene content (2.90 per cent and 349.01 µg/100 g, respectively) obtained for YCRM were higher than the values obtained for ash and  $\beta$ -carotene content in WCRM. The NFE value recorded for WCRM (83.77 per cent) is higher than 82.98 per cent NFE recorded for YCRM. White cassava root meal showed higher values for NDF (26.59 per cent), ADF (15.58 per cent) and ADL (3.37 per cent). It also had 2.66 mg/kg HCN content, while the HCN content of YCRM was 2.54 mg/kg. Values of the minerals Ca, P, Cu,

Mg, and Mn measured in YCRM were 3.55, 0.80, 0.28, 0.40, and 0.140, respectively, which were higher than the mineral contents recorded in WCRM, except for the value of Zn which is the same as that obtained in YCRM. The gross energy value obtained for WCRM (3537.10 kcal/kg) is higher than the gross energy recorded for YCRM.

The results of the main effects of cassava varieties and use of additives on the performance of starting broilers presented in Table 4 showed that birds fed diets containing yellow cassava had significantly higher (p < 0.05) final live weight and weight gain values (692.95 g and 638.95 g, respectively) than those fed diet containing white cassava. Birds fed with white cassava diets, however, had significantly higher (p < 0.05) feed conversion ratio (FCR) (2.34) and cost of feed per kg weight gain (\$246.76/kg [\$0.69]). Cassava varieties had no significant effect (p > 0.05) on the feed intake and total cost of feed consumed.

The main effect of additives was not significant (p > 0.05) on values recorded for final live weight and weight gain. Birds fed a diet containing cassava with enzyme had significantly higher (p < 0.05) feed intake (1,460.07 g), FCR (2.35) and cost of feed per kg weight gain ( $\frac{1252.17}{\text{kg}}$  [ $\frac{10.70}{\text{kg}}$ ) values, while the birds fed diets containing cassava with no additives showed lower FCR value (2.09). Birds fed diets containing cassava with amino acids + enzyme recorded significantly higher (p < 0.05) value of total cost of feed consumed ( $\frac{156.59}{150.44}$ ]) than birds fed diets containing cassava with no additive. The cost of feed per kg diet was highest (p < 0.05) for diets containing cassava with amino acids + enzyme ( $\frac{108.90}{108.90}$  [ $\frac{108.90}{108.90}$ ] and lowest for diets containing cassava with no additive ( $\frac{101.90}{108.90}$ ].

In Table 5, the result of the interaction effects of cassava varieties and use of additives on the performance of starting broilers showed that broilers fed a diet containing white cassava with amino acids had significantly lower (p < 0.05) values for final live weight and weight gain (602.12 g and 548.57 g, respectively). Those that were fed a diet containing yellow cassava with amino acids + enzyme recorded higher (p < 0.05) final live weight and weight gain values (714.55 g and 659.94 g). The broilers fed a diet containing white and yellow cassava with enzyme and those fed a diet containing yellow cassava with amino acids + enzyme recorded significantly higher (p < 0.05) values for feed intake and total cost of feed consumed, while those fed a diet containing yellow cassava with no additive had lower values for feed intake and total cost of feed consumed. The highest significant (p < 0.05) value for FCR (2.56) was recorded with birds fed a white cassava diet with amino acids while birds fed a yellow cassava diet with amino acids had the lowest value for FCR (1.93). Cost of feed per kg diet was highest (p < 0.05) for diets containing white and yellow cassava with amino acids + enzyme, while diets containing white and yellow cassava with no additive recorded the lowest cost of feed per kg diet. Broilers fed a diet containing white cassava with amino acids recorded a significantly higher (p < 0.05) value for cost of feed per kg weight gain ( $\frac{1}{2}265.22$  [ $\frac{1}{2}0.74$ ]) and those fed a diet containing yellow cassava with amino acids recorded lower values (₦200.15 [\$0.56]).

Results of the main effects of cassava varieties and use of additives on the performance of finishing broilers is shown in Table 6. It is observed from

starting broilers (0-4 weeks)	
iva varieties and additives on the performance of	Varieties
Table 4 Main effects of cass	Parameters

Parameters		Varieti	es				Add	itives		
	White	Yellow	SEM	P-value	Control	Amino	Enzyme	Amino acid +	SEM	P-value
						acid		enzyme		
Initial live weight/bird (g)	54.83	54.00	0.93	0.536	54.8	52.68	56.26	53.92	1.24	0.294
Final live weight/bird (g)	640.12 <sup>b</sup>	692.95 <sup>a</sup>	12.26	0.009	668.03	655.61	677.05	665.45	20.56	0.873
Total weight gain/bird (g)	585.29 <sup>b</sup>	638.95 <sup>a</sup>	11.68	0.007	613.23	602.92	620.8	611.54	19.88	0.912
Daily weight gain/bird (g)	20.90 <sup>b</sup>	22.82ª	0.42	0.007	21.90	21.53	22.17	21.84	0.71	0.912
Feed intake/bird (g)	1,367.5	1,358.52	31.30	0.812	1,278.79 <sup>b</sup>	1,338.18 <sup>b</sup>	1,460.07ª	1,375.00 <sup>ab</sup>	35.99	0.020
Daily feed intake (g/bird/day)	48.84	48.52	1.12	0.812	45.67 <sup>b</sup>	47.79 <sup>b</sup>	52.15 <sup>a</sup>	49.11 <sup>ab</sup>	1.29	0.020
Feed conversion ratio	$2.34^{a}$	2.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.05	0.006	2.09 <sup>b</sup>	$2.25^{ab}$	2.35 <sup>a</sup>	$2.25^{ab}$	0.07	0.007
Cost of feed/kg diet ( <del>N</del> )	105.40	105.40	0.85	0.000	101.90 <sup>d</sup>	103.50 <sup>c</sup>	107.30 <sup>b</sup>	$108.90^{a}$	00.00	0.000
Cost of feed/kg weight gain ( <del>N</del> )	246.76 <sup>a</sup>	224.91 <sup>b</sup>	6.39	0.006	212.84 <sup>b</sup>	232.67 <sup>ab</sup>	252.17 <sup>a</sup>	245.65 <sup>a</sup>	6.92	0.005
Total cost of feed consumed/ bird ( <del>N</del> )	144.12	143.41	3.95	0.860	130.25 <sup>c</sup>	138.49 <sup>bc</sup>	149.72 <sup>ab</sup>	156.59ª	3.80	0.001
Note: <sup>ab,c,d</sup> means those figures with	the same s	uperscripts a	long the r	ows are no	t significantly	/ different (p	< 0.05); US\$1	.00 = <del>N</del> 359.79 as	of Septen	iber 2018

Parameters		White c	assava				Yellow cas	sava		
-	Control	Amino	Enzyme	Amino acid	Control	Amino acid	Enzyme	Amino acid	SEM	P-value
		acid		+ enzyme				+ enzyme		
Initial live weight/bird (g)	54.8	53.55	53.72	53.24	54.8	51.82	54.8	54.6	0.66	0.612
Final live weight/bird (g)	658.48 <sup>abc</sup>	602.12⁰	679.50 <sup>ab</sup>	616.36 <sup>bc</sup>	677.58 <sup>ab</sup>	²60°60∠	670.61 <sup>abc</sup>	714.55 <sup>a</sup>	10.12	0.020
Total weight gain/ bird (g)	603.69 <sup>abc</sup>	548.57℃	$625.78^{\mathrm{ab}}$	563.13 <sup>bc</sup>	$622.78^{ab}$	657.27 <sup>a</sup>	615.81 <sup>ab</sup>	$659.94^{a}$	9.83	0.012
Daily weight gain/bird (g)	21.56 <sup>abc</sup>	19.59 <sup>c</sup>	$22.35^{ab}$	20.11 <sup>bc</sup>	22.24 <sup>ab</sup>	$23.47^{a}$	21.99 <sup>ab</sup>	$23.57^{a}$	0.35	0.012
Total feed intake/ bird (g)	1,302.73 <sup>bc</sup>	1,405.15 <sup>ab</sup>	1,463.47ª	1,298.64 <sup>bc</sup>	1,254.85 <sup>c</sup>	1,271.21 <sup>bc</sup>	1,456.67ª	1,451.36ª	21.8	0.009
Daily feed intake (g/bird/day)	46.53 <sup>bc</sup>	$50.18^{ab}$	52.27 <sup>a</sup>	46.38 <sup>bc</sup>	44.82°	45.40 <sup>bc</sup>	52.02ª	51.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.78	0.009
Feed conversion ratio	2.16 <sup>cd</sup>	2.56 <sup>a</sup>	2.34 <sup>bc</sup>	2.31 <sup>bc</sup>	2.02 <sup>de</sup>	1.93 <sup>e</sup>	2.36 <sup>b</sup>	2.21 <sup>bc</sup>	0.04	0.000
Cost of feed/kg diet ( <del>N</del> )	101.85 <sup>d</sup>	103.49∘	107.25 <sup>b</sup>	$108.89^{a}$	101.85 <sup>d</sup>	103.49 <sup>c</sup>	107.25 <sup>b</sup>	$108.89^{a}$	0.59	0.000
Cost of feed/kg weight gain ( <del>N</del> )	219.91 <sup>c</sup>	265.22ª	250.76 <sup>ab</sup>	$251.13^{ab}$	205.77 <sup>cd</sup>	200.15 <sup>d</sup>	$253.58^{ab}$	240.16 <sup>b</sup>	5.02	0.000
Total cost of feed consumed/ bird ( <del>N</del> )	132.68 <sup>bc</sup>	145.42 <sup>ab</sup>	156.96 <sup>a</sup>	141.41 <sup>bc</sup>	127.81ౕ	131.56 <sup>bc</sup>	156.23ª	158.04ª	2.77	0.006
Note: <sup>a,b,c,d,e</sup> means those figures wi	ith the same s	uperscripts a	long the ro	vs are not sigi	nificantly diff	ferent (p < 0.0	5); US\$1.00	= <del>N</del> 359.79 as o	of Septerr	ber 2018

Table 5 Interaction effects of cassava varieties and additives on the performance of starting broilers (0–4 weeks)

Table 6	6 Main effects of cassava varieties and additives on the performance of finishing broilers (4–8 week	Ś
Parame	Varieties	

Parameters		Varieti	es				Addii	tives		
I	White	Yellow	SEM	P-value	Control	Amino	Enzyme	Amino acid +	SEM	P-value
						acid		enzyme		
Initial live weight/bird (g)	645.04	698.6	13.25	0.212	690.23	667.05	675.83	654.17	21.5	0.613
Final live weight/bird (g)	1,903.11	1,872.65	20.62	0.324	1,862.88	1,879.55	1,918.64	1,890.45	29.89	0.619
Total weight gain/bird (g)	1,258.07ª	1,174.05 <sup>b</sup>	24.74	0.029	1,172.65	1,212.5	1,242.8	1,236.29	38.15	0.511
Daily weight gain/bird (g)	44.93ª	41.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.88	0.029	41.88	43.30	44.39	44.15	1.36	0.511
Total feed intake/bird (g)	3,180.06	3,124.23	33.87	0.25	3,217.62	3,081.58	3,167.33	3,142.05	46.75	0.263
Daily feed intake (g/bird/day)	113.57	111.58	1.21	0.25	114.92	110.06	113.12	112.22	1.67	0.263
Feed conversion ratio	2.54 <sup>b</sup>	2.67ª	0.05	0.042	2.75 <sup>a</sup>	2.56 <sup>b</sup>	2.56 <sup>b</sup>	2.55 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	0.040
Cost of feed/kg diet ( <del>N</del> )	95.09	95.09	0.85	0.000	91.57 <sup>d</sup>	93.21 <sup>c</sup>	96.97 <sup>b</sup>	98.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.000
Cost of feed/kg weight gain ( <del>N</del> )	241.08 <sup>b</sup>	253.70ª	4.17	0.045	251.8	238.24	248.45	251.07	6.21	0.357
Total cost of feed consumed/ bird ( <del>N</del> )	302.39	297.03	4.04	0.245	294.64 <sup>bc</sup>	287.23 <sup>c</sup>	307.14 <sup>ab</sup>	309.84ª	4.44	0.006
Note: <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> means those figures with	i the same su	iperscripts alc	na the rov	vs are not si	anificantly d	ifferent (p < 0	.05): US\$1.0	$0 = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{$	of Septem	oer 2018

ğ È, n ק 2 2 <u>j</u> the results that cassava varieties had no significant effect (p > 0.05) on the final live weight, feed intake, and total cost of feed consumed. Finishing broilers fed a diet containing yellow cassava variety showed significantly higher (p < 0.05) values for FCR (2.67) and cost of feed per kg weight gain (\$253.70 [\$0.70]) while birds fed a diet containing white cassava variety showed a higher value (p < 0.05) for weight gain (1,258.07 g).

There was no significant effect of additives (p > 0.05) on final live weight, weight gain, feed intake, and cost of feed per kg weight gain. Birds fed diets containing cassava with no additives showed a significantly higher value of 2.75 for FCR. Birds fed a diet containing cassava with amino acids + enzyme had the highest significant (p < 0.05) total cost of feed consumed (\$309.84 [\$0.86]) and the birds fed a diet containing cassava with amino acids recorded the lowest value (\$287.23 [\$0.80]) for total cost of feed consumed. Cost of feed per kg diet was lowest (\$91.57 [\$0.25]) for diets containing cassava with no additive and highest for the diet containing cassava with amino acids + enzyme (\$98.61 [\$0.27]).

Table 7 shows the results of interaction effects of cassava varieties and use of additives on the performance of finishing broilers. It is observed that there was no significant effect (p > 0.05) on the final live weight, weight gain, and feed intake. Finishing broilers fed a diet containing yellow cassava with no additive had higher (p < 0.05) FCR (2.84) and those fed a diet containing white cassava with amino acids and amino acids + enzyme (2.43 and 2.49, respectively) recorded lower values. Finishing broilers fed a diet containing yellow cassava with no additive and those fed a diet containing yellow cassava with amino acids + enzyme showed significantly higher (p < 0.05) values for cost of feed per kg weight gain, while a lower value (#226.71 [\$0.63]) was recorded for those fed a diet containing white cassava with amino acids. The finishing broilers fed a diet containing yellow cassava with amino acids showed a significantly lower (p > 0.05) value for total cost of feed consumed (#281.48 [\$0.78]) but higher values of total cost of feed consumed were recorded for those fed a diet containing white and yellow cassava diets with amino acids + enzyme. The same result was found for those fed a diet containing white cassava with enzyme. Cost of feed per kg diet was highest for diets containing both white and yellow cassava with amino acids + enzyme and lowest for white and yellow cassava diets with no additive.

#### Discussion

Broiler starters fed a diet containing YCRM supplemented with enzyme, amino acids + enzyme, and those fed a diet containing WCRM supplemented with enzyme showed improved feed intake although with higher feed cost than those fed YCRM or WCRM without additive. The improved feed intake obtained could be attributed to the effect of enzyme and amino acid supplemented in the feed. This agrees with the findings of Abdulrashid et al. (2007) that enzymes are needed to degrade fibre structures and increase the feeding value of feed materials. It also corroborates the findings of Midau et al. (2011) that improved feed utilization due to enzyme supplementation was responsible for the increased feed intake and live weight gain in

Table 7 Interaction effects of cassava	varieties and additives on the performance of finishing broilers (4–8 wee
Parameters	White cassava

		ווומ מממותאפ	s on me pe				леку)			
Parameters		White	саѕѕаvа				Yellow	cassava		
	Control	Amino	Enzyme	Amino acid +	Control	Amino	Enzyme	Amino acid +	SEM	P-value
		acid		enzyme		acid		enzyme		
Initial live weight/bird (g)	680.3	602.42	680	617.42	700.15	731.67	671.67	690.91	10.75	0.021
Final live weight/bird (g)	1,881.21	1,900.61	1,946.67	1,883.94	1,844.55	1,858.48	1,890.61	1,896.97	14.67	0.856
Total weight gain/bird (g)	1,200.91	1,298.18	1,266.67	1,266.52	1,144.39	1,126.82	1,218.94	1,206.06	19.26	0.293
Daily weight gain/bird (g)	42.89	46.36	45.24	45.23	40.87	40.24	43.53	43.07	0.68	0.293
Total feed intake/bird (g)	3,195.64	3,143.33	3,232.33	3,148.94	3,239.61	3,019.82	3,102.33	3,135.15	24.46	0.395
Daily feed intake (g/bird/day)	114.13	112.26	115.44	112.46	115.70	107.85	110.80	111.97	0.87	0.395
Feed conversion ratio	2.66 <sup>ab</sup>	2.43 <sup>b</sup>	$2.56^{\mathrm{ab}}$	2.49 <sup>b</sup>	$2.84^{a}$	2.68 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.56^{ab}$	2.60 <sup>ab</sup>	0.03	0.012
Cost of feed/kg diet ( <del>N</del> )	91.57 <sup>d</sup>	93.21 <sup>c</sup>	96.97 <sup>b</sup>	98.61ª	91.57 <sup>d</sup>	93.21 <sup>c</sup>	96.97 <sup>b</sup>	98.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.59	0.000
Cost of feed/kg weight gain ( <del>N</del> )	$243.87^{\mathrm{ab}}$	226.71 <sup>b</sup>	248.23 <sup>ab</sup>	245.51 <sup>ab</sup>	259.73ª	249.77 <sup>ab</sup>	248.67 <sup>ab</sup>	256.64 <sup>a</sup>	3.18	0.029
Total cost of feed consumed/ bird ( <del>N</del> )	292.62 <sup>ab</sup>	292.99 <sup>ab</sup>	313.44ª	310.52 <sup>a</sup>	296.65 <sup>ab</sup>	281.48 <sup>b</sup>	300.83 <sup>ab</sup>	308.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.85	0.038
Note: <sup>a,b,c,d</sup> means those figures with	the same sup	oerscripts alc	ing the row	s are not signifi	cantly differ	ent (p < 0.0	5); US\$1.00	) = <del>N</del> 359.79 as c	of Septem	ber 2018

broilers on similar levels of dietary nutrient concentration. Uni and Ferket (2003) reported that amino acid supplementation had the potential to improve the normal functioning of the digestive system in birds thereby enhancing improved nutrient utilization and increased final body weight gain of broilers.

The improved growth performance obtained with starter broilers fed a diet containing YCRM supplemented with amino acids could be attributed to the variety of the cassava root used in this study. Yellow cassava root meal showed higher crude protein, carotene, and reduced cyanide levels than the white variety which could have a cumulative effect and contribute to the improved performance noticed at the starter phase when compared with broilers on WCRM. Beta-carotene is an antioxidant which could be used to fight against damaging free radicals in the body (Tanumihardjo, 2008). Another reason for improved growth performance obtained at the starter phase with broilers fed with YCRM supplemented with amino acids could be the limiting amino acid (methionine and lysine) supplemented in the diet. Limiting amino acid supplementation has been reported to interact with HCN to ameliorate its effect in poultry nutrition (Tewe and Egbunike, 1992). Improved utilization of cassava meal and reduced cvanide effect have been reported in literatures following amino acid supplementation (Oboh and Kindahunsi, 2005; Zanu and Dei, 2011). Hence, improved growth response of starter broilers fed YCRM supplemented with amino acids could be attributed to the cumulative effect of higher crude protein, carotene, reduced cyanide levels, and supplemental amino acid. Feeding starting broilers with a diet containing YCRM supplemented with amino acids makes the best economic sense since it yields the least cost per kilogram weight gain. This implied that, despite the added cost of amino acid supplemented, it is still more cost effective. This trend reported for cost corroborated the observations in growth performance.

At the finisher phase, there were no statistical differences on growth parameters (except feed conversion ratio) following dietary treatments. This implied that either WCRM or YCRM inclusion, supplemented with or without additives, showed no effect on these parameters. This agrees with the report of Hassan et al. (2012) that using 30 per cent of cassava meal in place of maize had no adverse effects on the performance of finisher broilers. All the treatments showed improved FCR except for finishing broilers fed YCRM without amino acids which exhibited poor FCR. This observation underscores the need to improve protein level and reduce the cyanide content of cassava meal to promote its utilization by amino acid supplementation (Zanu and Dei, 2011). The cheapest diet to yield a kilogram weight of finisher broilers was the diet containing WCRM supplemented with amino acids. This implied that it is cheaper to produce a kilogram weight of finisher broiler when fed with WCRM supplemented with amino acids.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Cassava Adding Value for Africa (CAVA) project Phase II, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria towards the successful implementation of the project.

## References

Abdulrashid, M., Agwuobi, L.N., Jokthan, G.E. and Abdul, S.B. (2007) 'Carcass quality characteristics of broiler finisher fed taro (*Colocasia esculanta*) cocoyam meal', in *Book of Abstract of the 32nd Annual Conference*, NSAP, the University of Calabar, Nigeria, 32, pp. 457–60.

Aderemi, F.A., Adenowo, T.K. and Oguntunji, A.O. (2012) 'Effect of whole cassava meal on performance and egg quality characteristics of layers', *Journal of Animal Science* 5(2): 195–200. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0386">http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0386</a>>.

Adeyemi, O.A., Eruvbetine, D., Oguntona, T.O., Dipeolu, M.A. and Agunbiade, J.A. (2008) 'Feeding broiler chickens with diet containing whole cassava root meal fermented with rumen filtrate', *Archives Zootecnia* 57(218): 247–58.

Akapo, O.A., Oso, A.O., Bamgbose, A.M., Sanwo, K.A., Jegede, A.V., Sobayo, R.A., Idowu, O.M.O., Juexin Fan, Lili Li, and Olorunsola, R.A. (2014) 'Effect of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) root peeling and inclusion levels on growth performance, hydrocyanide intake, haema-tological indices and serum thiocyanate concentration of broiler chicks', *Tropical Animal and Health Production* 46: 1167–72 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11250-014-0622-5>.

AOAC (1997) Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington: AOAC Inc.

Horwitz, W. (ed.) (2005) *International Official Methods of Analysis*, 17th edn, Arlington, VA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

Bradbury, J.H., Egan, S.V. and Lynch, M.J. (1991) 'Analysis of cyanide in cassava using acid hydrolysis of cyanogenic glucosides', *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture* 55: 277–90 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740550213">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740550213</a>>.

Donkoh, A. and Attoh-Kotoku, V. (2009) 'Nutritive value of feedstuffs for poultry in Ghana: chemical composition, apparent metabolizability energy and ileal amino acid digestibility', *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 21(3): 41–8.

Duncan, D.B. (1955) 'Multiple range and F test', Biometrics 11: 1-42.

Hassan, A.M., Tamburawa, M.S., Alponsus, C. and Yusuf, J.H. (2012) 'Studies on growth, organs weight and haematological parameters of broiler chicken fed graded level of sun dried cassava root meal', *Journal of Pure and Applied Science* 5(1): 98–102 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v5i1.18">http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v5i1.18</a>>.

Khajarern, S. and Khajarern, J. (2007) 'Use of cassava products in poultry feeding', in D. Machin and S. Nyvold (eds), *Roots, Tubers, Plantains and Bananas in Animal Feeding* [online] Rome: FAO </br><www.fao.org/DOCREP/003/T0554E/T0554E10.htm> [accessed 22 October 2015].

Midau, A., Augustine, C., Yakubu, B., Yahaya, S.M., Kibon, A. and Udoyong, A.O. (2011) 'Performance of broiler chickens fed enzyme supplemented cassava peel meal based diets', *International Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 3(1): 01–04.

Ngiki, Y.U., Igwebuike, J.U. and Moruppa, S.M. (2014) 'Utilisation of cassava products for poultry feeding: a review', *International Journal of Science and Technology* 2(6): 48–59.

Oboh, G. and Kindahunsi, A.A. (2005) 'Nutritional and toxicological evaluation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermented cassava flour', *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 18: 731–8 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162009000500007">http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162009000500007</a>>.

Ogun-Oshun River Basin Development Authority (2016) *Means of Agrometeorological Observations*, Ogun-Oshun River Basin Development Authority.

Olugbemi, T.S., Mutayoba, S.K. and Lekule, F.P. (2010) 'Effect of Moringa (*Moringaoleifera*) inclusion in cassava based diets fed to broiler chickens', *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9(4): 363–7 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2010.363.367">http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2010.363.367</a>>.

Omole, T.A. (1977) 'Cassava in the nutrition of layers', in B. Mestel and M. Graham (eds), *Cassava as Animal Feed*, pp. 51–5, London: International Development Research Centre.

Oso, A.O., Oso, O., Bamgbose, A.M. and Eruvbetine, D. (2010) 'Utilization of unpeeled cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) root meal in diets of weaner rabbits', *Livestock Production Science* 127: 192–6 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2009.09.008">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2009.09.008</a>>.

Oso, A.O., Akapo, O., Sanwo, K.A. and Bamgbose, A.M. (2014) 'Utilization of unpeeled cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) root meal supplemented with or without charcoal by broiler chickens', *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* 98: 431–8 <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12088">http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12088</a>>.

SAS Institute (1999) SAS User's Guide; Statistics, Version 6.03 edition, Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.

Sonaiya, E.B., Williams, A.R. and Onu, S.A. (1996) 'A biologic and economic appraisal of broiler production up to 16 weeks', *Journal of Animal Science Research* 62: 115–25.

Tanumihardjo, S.A. (2008) 'Food-based approaches for ensuring adequate vitamin A nutrition', *Comprehensive Review of Food Science and Food Safety* 7: 373–81.

Tewe, O.O. and Egbunike, G.N. (1992) 'Utilisation of cassava in nonruminant livestock feeds', in S.K. Hahn, L. Reynolds and G.N. Egbunike (eds.), *Cassava as Livestock Feed in Africa. Proceedings of IITA/ILCA/University of Ibadan Workshop on the Potential Utilisation of Cassava as Livestock Feed in Africa*, pp. 28–38, Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA.

Udedibie, A.B.I., Anyaegbu, B.C., Onyeckwu, G.C. and Egbuokporo, O.C. (2008) 'Effect of feeding different levels of fermented and unfermented cassava tuber meals on the performance of broilers', *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 31: 211–9.

Uni, Z. and Ferket, P. (2003) *Enhancement of Development of Oviparous Species by in ovo Feeding*, U.S. Regular Patent US 6,592,878 B2, Washington, DC.

Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. and Lewis, B.A. (1991) 'Methods for dietary, fiber, NDF and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition', *Journal of Dairy Science* 74: 3583–97.

Zanu, H.K. and Dei, H.K. (2011) 'Evaluation of processed cassava and blood (PCV) in feed for broiler chickens', *African Journal of Food Science and Technology* 2(5): 98–101.